

Briefing document for the third Policy Lab meeting

The third Policy Lab meeting will be held in the Portuguese Representation Office in Brussels and will be open to an external audience.

It will be opened by Tjeerd Hazenberg (Policy officer; Province of Fryslan, NL), with a speech on “Development of regional policies for Circular Economy”

Followed by an open discussion on regional policies, with a focus on the research gaps and other issues raised during the SCREEN project. One of such issues is the need of a figure in regional offices (as well as in other institutions) able to manage the horizontal aspects of circular economy, collect requests and organize information to be spread to all involved internal offices.

The other arguments of the Policy Lab are:

- 1) Following the speech given by DG REGIO on the Article 70 of ESIF, there will be a check on the state of the art of the Memorandum of Understanding, its signature and the project to be used for the Pilot Action. Each region will report about the signatures process and eventual issues. *As an example the Council of Lazio Region (Giunta Regionale) has approved the MoU and now it is ready for the signature: the practical question is: electronic signature on a pdf file, or physical signature and stamp? In the second option the same document should be circulated among all the signatories and this implies several time; the second option should be preferable. However, given the close date of regional elections and the need to sign the document in advance, a decision will be taken before the date of the Policy Lab*

The other regions will report their situation.

- 2) In the second Policy Lab meeting a first set of assessment criteria for Circular Economy Projects has been discussed, and the discussion continued with several comments and suggestions provided by the participant regions. The result is the draft table of assessment criteria and explanatory notes reported in annex 1.



and suggestions provided by the participant regions. The result is the draft table of assessment criteria and explanatory notes reported in annex 1. The further step is now a public consultation open to all the CE stakeholders: a questionnaire online has been prepared and also advertised on the web site of the European Circular Economy Stakeholder platform (ECESP)

<http://circulareconomy.europa.eu/platform/en/news-and-events/how-do-we-assess-projects-circularity-questionnaire-assessment-criteria-screen-policy-lab>. SCREEN will have a stand at the European Economic and Social Committee during the second day of the annual ECESP conference (21 February 2018) to publicize the questionnaire that will remain open until 11th of May and the results will be presented during the fourth Policy Lab. Given the short time available, the assessment criteria will be presented, but not discussed: the discussion will continue on line through the dedicated LinkedIn group and after the presentation of the questionnaires results.

- 3) In the second Policy Lab a question raised about the need of knowing in each region how many H2020 project have been ranked above the threshold but not financed due to a lack of funds. With respect to circular economy projects, this information is crucial for the definition of the amount a region may put in the envisaged “Common Pot” defined by the MoU. Following a meeting with DG RTD, Unit B5, where SCREEN explained such a need, we received the information reported in **annex 2**, that are a valuable indication for circular economy projects.

- 4) During the second Policy Lab, CENTRO Region announced a specific call applying some synergies between EU and national/regional funds and several partners have requested more information about it. In the following what has been provided by CENTRO:

The Portuguese call for proposals to support the European-scale Industrial R&D projects was launched by the Managing Authorities of several Operational Programs (national and regional level).

With the main purpose of promoting companies' investment in R&I and therefore stimulate the development of more knowledge and innovation-based economic activities, the call aims at the national co-funding of Portuguese participants in R&D European projects, within the EUREKA Network, Eurostars, Horizon 2020 / ERA-NETS and Horizon 2020 / Joint Undertakings.

Proposals sent should be formulated with reference to the international consortium, with evidence of approval through the European R&D Programmes (signed contract should be uploaded). Within this call it is the participation of the national partners that will be funded; nevertheless this is dependent on the execution of the international partners' investments, being that the verification of compliance with this rule will be assured through the monitoring and closure procedures of the project. Therefore, the European co-funding will not apply (as the national support will be granted through ESIF).

The evaluation of the proposals rely on four criteria (A - “Quality of the project”, B - “Project's impact on the company's competitiveness”, C – “Project's contribution to the economy” and “D - Project's contribution to regional convergence”, the latter being evaluated through the alignment with Smart Specialisation Strategies). For the first two it was established a direct equivalence with criteria of Eurostars, Horizon 2020 / ERA-NETS and Horizon 2020 / Joint Undertakings (excellence, quality and efficiency of implementation and impact), avoiding re-evaluation.

The results of the first round of this call will be reported and discussed in the next Policy Lab

- 5) **Various** The Policy Lab aims at becoming a self-standing discussion table also after the end of the project, as endorsed by Arnoud Passenier from the Dutch Ministry for Infrastructure and Environment (see his speech at minute 2:39 of the SCREEN Workshop in London - <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=gx7F8p3ZtxE&feature=youtu.be>). The first step will be to make the LinkedIn Group open to the public, while at the present it is reserved (and visible) only to the members. You are requested to express your eventual opposition by mail or during the Policy Lab Session.

Next Policy Lab meeting will be in Brussels on next 30 May 2018

Annexes:

Annex 1 - Draft table of assessment criteria and explanatory notes

Annex 2 – List of projects dealing with circular economy, also containing those well ranked but not financed, divided by region.

Annex 1

The Draft table of assessment criteria for circular economy projects in Fig. 1 has been prepared after several discussions between the 17 SCREEN regions and other stakeholders: it is intended as a tool for helping the evaluators of circular economy projects asking for regional funds, to be used in addition to the usual evaluation criteria. The table is a draft version and it is not yet completed, because the final step on how to practically proceed with the comparison of projects is still missing. After having processed the questionnaire's results, the table will be fine-tuned and completed.

Projects are firstly divided into two separate categories:

- A. Projects directly addressing waste recycling or avoidance through a change or upgrading of the production process
- B. Projects dealing with the promotion of circular economy: training, dissemination of best practices, education of relevant stakeholders, etc.

A) Projects dealing with a production process change or upgrading

The first category of projects is divided in four sub-categories having different “circularity impact” (weight), depending of the destination and the use of the waste recovered; applicant must compulsory select only one of the following cases:

- 1) Waste recovered is re-used in the same location as a secondary raw material: this is the best ranked case, because there is no need of transport from one place to another place
- 2) Waste recovered is re-used in another location as a secondary raw material: in this case there is a need of transport, but the recovered waste already has its final destination certified
- 3) Waste recovered is put on the market as a secondary raw material: there is a need of transport and the recovered waste does not have its final destination yet
- 4) The new process generates less waste, that is not recovered

After having chosen one of the above criteria, applicants are requested to indicate the energy efficiency of the new process respect to the old one (Criterion 5); these two criteria (the one selected among four and the fifth one) are converted in € per year through the parameters indicated in the table, in order to have a uniform parameter.

Applicant are then requested to provide data for a further environmental criterion and for the socio-economic criteria:

Criterion 6) Reduction of emission (Kg of CO₂ per year); reduction of other GHG/pollutants should be reduced to Kg of CO₂ equivalent through commonly accepted conversion tables such as the one at <https://climatechangeconnection.org/emissions/co2-equivalents/>. In the present draft version this criterion is not converted in € per year

Criterion 7) Net balance of jobs (created by the new circular process and lost in the old linear one); In the present draft version this criterion is not converted in € per year

Criterion 8) Increased economic value of the new process respect to the old one (%). This criterion is not transformed in € per year, in order to not penalize small businesses respect to greater ones: therefore only the increasing ratio is considered.

DRAFT TABLE OF ASSESSMENT CRITERIA FOR CIRCULAR ECONOMY PROJECTS

Projects dealing with waste recycling or reduction should select one of the cases indicated in the rows from 1 to 4 and provide the requested data . Then data can be provided fo criteria 5, 6 nd 7.

Indirect projects (such as supporting actions) should only provide data for criteria 8, 9 and 10

Select only one among the four

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
	N.	Description	Explanation	Metrics	Additional parameters	Assessment indicator	Weight	Data that should be provided by the applicants
Environmental Criteria (choose only one criterion among 1, 2, 3 and 4)	1	Mass of waste resources recovered and re-introduced in the own production cycle, or	Waste recovered is re-used in the same location as a secondary raw material	Kg/year	Economic value of the secondary raw material (€/Kg)	Metrics x additional parameter (€/year)	10	Description of the new process with a clear demonstration of quantity, quality and economic value of the waste re-used in the same location
	2	Industrial symbiosis: Mass of waste resources recovered and re-introduced in another production cycle , or	Waste recovered is re-used in another location as a secondary raw material	Kg/year			9	Description of the new process with a clear demonstration of quantity and quality of the waste recovered, AND statement of the owner of the other process that buys the secondary raw material at the described cost
	3	Increase in the recyclability of waste generated, or	Waste recovered is put on the market as a secondary raw material	Kg/year			8	Description of the new process with a clear demonstration of quantity, quality and economic value of the waste recovered
	4	Avoidance of waste generated	The new process generates less waste	Kg/year	Cost of disposal (€/Kg)		7	Description of the new process with a clear demonstration of quantity, quality and economic value of the waste re-used in the same location
	5	“Net Energy balance respect to the previous system” or “Amount of energy recovered”	The new process consumes less energy or same energy of th new process is recovered	Kwh/year	Cost of Energy (€/KWh)	Metrics x additional parameter (€/year)	6	Description of the new process with a clear demonstration of the quantity of energy saved or recovered
	6	Reduction of emissions	The new process has less emissions respect to the old one	CO2 Kg/year (*)		Metrics (CO2 Kg/year)	6	Comparative description of the old and new processes, with a clear justification of CO2 remission reduction(*)
Social Criterion	7	Net balance of jobs	Number of new jobs created by the circular economy project, minus the number of jobs lost in the previous linear process	Number of full time working units		Metrics (number of full time working units: in case of part time units decimals should be used)	6	Comparative description of the old and new processes, with a clear justification for new jobs created and old job lost. In case of no jobs lost a description of the new tasks for workers previously working at the old process should be provided
Economic Criterion	8	Increase of economic value (lyfe cycle)	Ratio of economic value of the new process respect to the previous one	%		Metrics (%)	6	Comparative description of the old and new processes, with a clear justification of the increased economic value, if any
Criteria for indirect projects	9	Project promoting waste recycling					From 1 to 5	Score assigned by the evaluators on the basis of the information contained in the project proposal : 0 = not complying with the criterion; 1 = poor; 2 = fair; 3 = good; 4 = very good; 5 =excellent
	10	Implementation of "green procurement" in the project					From 1 to 5	
	11	Inclusion of relevant stakeholders education on circular economy					From 1 to 5	

(*) In case of other pollutants, a table of equivalence should be used to convert them into CO2 equivalent emissions - <https://climatechangeconnection.org/emissions/co2-equivalents/>

B) Projects dealing with the promotion of circular economy

This category of projects includes promotion, training, education and any other activity dealing with circular economy, but not directly foreseeing a change of a production process from linear to circular.

Due to the wide range of possible projects, this draft version considers 3 generic sub-categories. It is to be underlined that these criteria have been defined as additional ones to be used by the regions, together with the usual ones, in case of projects dealing with circular economy and 3 criteria (respect to the 5 above defined for direct projects) should be enough. An excessive number of additional criteria could have a counterproductive effect.

The questionnaire available at <http://circulareconomy.europa.eu/platform/en/news-and-events/how-do-we-assess-projects-circularity-questionnaire-assessment-criteria-screen-policy-lab> remains open until the 11th of May 2018; results will be discussed during the next SCREEN Policy lab in Brussels on 30th of May 2018. Depending on the results of the discussion, the final list of assessment criteria will be used in the "SCREEN operational plan" of the participating regions (open also to external regions) and will be proposed to the European Commission for its adoption in the evaluation of the H2020 Circular Economy projects.

The European Commission issued on 16th of January 2018 a Communication "on a monitoring framework for the circular economy" (<http://ec.europa.eu/environment/circular-economy/pdf/monitoring-framework.pdf>), containing 10 indicators selected to capture the main elements of a circular economy. Although SCREEN has worked in a completely independent and separate way from the Commission's product, there is a noticeable correspondence between the indicators of the document mentioned and the evaluation criteria proposed for the projects, as shown in the following figure.

SCREEN
Synergic Circular Economy across European regions

DRAFT TABLE OF ASSESS

Projects dealing with waste recycling or reduction should select one of the case

Indirect projects (such as supporting actions) should only provide data for criterion

1	2	3	4
	N.	Description	Explanation
Environmental Criteria (each project can indicate <i>only one criterion</i> among 1, 2, 3 and 4)	1	Mass of waste resources recovered and re-introduced in the own production cycle, or	Waste recovered is re-used in the same location as a secondary raw material
	2	Industrial symbiosis: Mass of waste resources recovered and re-introduced in another production cycle, or	Waste recovered is re-used in another location as a secondary raw material
	3	Increase in the recyclability of waste generated, or	Waste recovered is put on the market as a secondary raw material
	4	Avoidance of waste generated	The new process generates less waste
	5	"Net Energy balance respect to the previous system" or "Amount of energy recovered"	The new process consumes less energy or same energy of the new process is recovered
	6	Reduction of emissions	The new process has less emissions respect to the old one
Social Criterion	7	Net balance of jobs	Number of new jobs created by the circular economy project, minus the number of jobs lost in the previous linear process
	8	Increase of economic value (life cycle)	Ratio of economic value of the new process respect to the previous one
Criteria for indirect projects	9	Project promoting waste recycling	
	10	Implementation of "green procurement" in the project	
	11	Inclusion of relevant stakeholders education on circular economy	

(*) In case of other pollutants, a table of equivalence should be used to convert

Monitoring Framework -COM(2018) 29 final

No	Name	Relevance	EU levers (examples)
Production and consumption			
1	EU self-sufficiency for raw materials	The circular economy should help to address the supply risks for raw materials, in particular critical raw materials.	Raw Materials Initiative; Resource Efficiency Roadmap
2	Green public procurement*	Public procurement accounts for a large share of consumption and can drive the circular economy.	Public Procurement Strategy; EU support schemes and voluntary criteria for green public procurement
3a-c	Waste generation	In a circular economy waste generation is minimised.	Waste Framework Directive; directives on specific waste streams; Strategy for Plastics
4	Food waste*	Discarding food has negative environmental, climate and economic impacts.	General Food Law Regulation; Waste Framework Directive; various initiatives (e.g. Platform on Food Losses and Food Waste)
Waste management			
5a-b	Overall recycling rates	Increasing recycling is part of the transition to a circular economy.	Waste Framework Directive
6a-f	Recycling rates for specific waste streams	This reflects the progress in recycling key waste streams.	Waste Framework Directive; Landfill Directive; directives on specific waste streams
Secondary raw materials			
7a-b	Contribution of recycled materials to raw materials demand	In a circular economy, secondary raw materials are commonly used to make new products.	Waste Framework Directive; Eco-design Directive; EU Ecolabel; REACH; initiative on the interface between chemicals, products and waste policies; Strategy for Plastics; quality standards for secondary raw materials
8	Trade in recyclable raw materials	Trade in recyclables reflects the importance of the internal market and global participation in the circular economy.	Internal Market policy; Waste Shipment Regulation; Trade policy
Competitiveness and innovation			
9a-c	Private investments, jobs and gross value added	This reflects the contribution of the circular economy to the creation of jobs and growth.	Investment Plan for Europe; Structural and Investment Funds; InnovFin; Circular Economy Finance Support Platform; Sustainable Finance Strategy; Green Employment Initiative; New Skills Agenda for Europe; Internal Market policy
10	Patents	Innovative technologies related to the circular economy boost the EU's global competitiveness.	Horizon 2020

Annex 2

List of projects dealing with circular economy, also containing those well ranked but not financed, divided by region

Telenet

polidori.carlo@telenet.be

FW: SCREEN project updates**From :** Magda DE-CARLI <Magda.DE-CARLI@ec.europa.eu>

Fri, Feb 09, 2018 03:08 PM

Subject : FW: SCREEN project updates 3 attachments**To :** polidori carlo <polidori.carlo@telenet.be>

Cc : Dusan SANDOR <Dusan.SANDOR@ec.europa.eu>, Wojciech KLIMEK <Wojciech.KLIMEK@ec.europa.eu>, Pia LAURILA <Pia.LAURILA@ec.europa.eu>, Ciaran Dearle <Ciaran.Dearle@ec.europa.eu>, Mersia PANAGIOTAKOU <Mersia.PANAGIOTAKOU@ec.europa.eu>

Dear Mr Polidori,

As requested, please find below the table that provides the information you were looking for (and that the project partners were supposed to ask us through the template – which therefore is not needed anymore !)

We can indeed provide you (thanks Mersia!) with this aggregated data where you find answer to the questions you indicated:

- *How many proposals have been submitted in circular economy by consortia with at least one partner?*
- *How many proposals, submitted by consortia with a coordinator in circular economy, have been evaluated above the threshold, but not financed due to a lack of funds?*
- *How many proposals, submitted by consortia with at least one partner (not coordinator) in circular economy, have been evaluated above the threshold, but not financed due to a lack of funds?*
- *The total amount of grant requested by the above-mentioned proposals.*

However please note that **providing this info to you is just a to respond to your request, and it does not entail in any way the official green light or approval of any activity undertaken under the Screen project grant, which you will indeed need to obtain from the project coordinator and policy officer (who I include it in copy)**

Kind regards

Magda de Carli

Applicant Country Code	[PP] EN NUTS Lvl2 Code	[PP] EN NUTS Lvl2 Descr	Applicant Role	Evaluation	Nr of Proposals	Applicant Requested Grant
BE	BE10	Région de Bruxelles-Capitale / Brussels Hoofdstedelijk Gewest	Coordinator	Above threshold but in Reserve List	1	3,678,560.00
BE	BE10	Région de Bruxelles-Capitale / Brussels Hoofdstedelijk Gewest	Coordinator	Below threshold	3	1,271,950.00
BE	BE10	Région de Bruxelles-Capitale / Brussels Hoofdstedelijk Gewest	Coordinator	Funded	1	985,500.00
BE	BE10	Région de Bruxelles-Capitale / Brussels Hoofdstedelijk Gewest	Partner	Above threshold but in Reserve List	5	2,247,019.00
BE	BE10	Région de Bruxelles-Capitale / Brussels Hoofdstedelijk Gewest	Partner	Above threshold but not funded	6	2,747,595.00
BE	BE10	Région de Bruxelles-Capitale / Brussels Hoofdstedelijk Gewest	Partner	Below threshold	18	7,835,216.63
BE	BE10	Région de Bruxelles-Capitale / Brussels Hoofdstedelijk Gewest	Partner	Funded	19	6,939,161.25
BE	BE24	Prov. Vlaams-Brabant	Partner	Above threshold but in Reserve List	3	1,040,228.50
BE	BE24	Prov. Vlaams-Brabant	Partner	Above threshold but not funded	2	341,162.50
BE	BE24	Prov. Vlaams-Brabant	Partner	Below threshold	7	2,231,809.38
BE	BE24	Prov. Vlaams-Brabant	Partner	Funded	8	3,951,254.26
EL	EL43	Κρήτη (Kriti)	Partner	Above threshold	1	180,250.00

				but in Reserve List		
EL	EL43	Κρήτη (Kriti)	Partner	Below threshold	1	226,625.00
EL	EL43	Κρήτη (Kriti)	Partner	Funded	1	37,750.00
ES	ES22	Comunidad Foral de Navarra	Coordinato r	Above threshold but in Reserve List	1	433,650.00
ES	ES22	Comunidad Foral de Navarra	Partner	Above threshold but in Reserve List	1	291,463.00
ES	ES22	Comunidad Foral de Navarra	Partner	Funded	5	1,303,331.25
FI	FI19	Länsi-Suomi	Partner	Below threshold	6	2,950,869.13
FI	FI19	Länsi-Suomi	Partner	Funded	4	938,900.00
FR	FR10	Île de France	Coordinato r	Above threshold but not funded	2	3,263,313.00
FR	FR10	Île de France	Coordinato r	Below threshold	2	2,858,452.50
FR	FR10	Île de France	Coordinato r	Funded	1	1,525,744.00
FR	FR10	Île de France	Partner	Above threshold but in Reserve List	3	773,339.00
FR	FR10	Île de France	Partner	Above threshold but not funded	4	2,089,340.00
FR	FR10	Île de France	Partner	Below threshold	11	7,471,702.88
FR	FR10	Île de France	Partner	Funded	14	5,960,694.00
HR	HR03	Jadranska Hrvatska	Partner	Below threshold	1	305,375.00
HR	HR03	Jadranska Hrvatska	Partner	Funded	4	956,837.50
IT	ITC4	Lombardia	Coordinato r	Below threshold	1	332,281.25
IT	ITC4	Lombardia	Coordinato r	Funded	1	934,000.00
IT	ITC4	Lombardia	Partner	Above threshold but in Reserve List	4	3,056,894.38
IT	ITC4	Lombardia	Partner	Above threshold but not funded	3	1,049,476.00
IT	ITC4	Lombardia	Partner	Below threshold	11	4,932,974.13
IT	ITC4	Lombardia	Partner	Funded	12	7,310,505.00
IT	ITI4	Lazio	Coordinato r	Above threshold but in Reserve List	1	656,562.50
IT	ITI4	Lazio	Coordinato r	Below threshold	2	5,130,562.50
IT	ITI4	Lazio	Coordinato r	Funded	2	1,278,000.00
IT	ITI4	Lazio	Partner	Above threshold but in Reserve List	3	698,075.00
IT	ITI4	Lazio	Partner	Below threshold	14	7,319,043.75
IT	ITI4	Lazio	Partner	Funded	8	2,862,725.50
NL	NL12	Friesland (NL)	Partner	Above threshold but not funded	1	587,909.00
NL	NL12	Friesland (NL)	Partner	Below threshold	2	2,073,106.25
NL	NL12	Friesland (NL)	Partner	Funded	2	753,312.50
NL	NL42	Limburg (NL)	Partner	Funded	3	1,041,713.00
PL	PL11	Łódzkie	Partner	Below threshold	1	363,062.50
PL	PL11	Łódzkie	Partner	Funded	1	101,000.00
PT	PT16	Centro (PT)	Partner	Above threshold but in Reserve List	1	284,001.25
PT	PT16	Centro (PT)	Partner	Above threshold but not funded	1	193,390.00
PT	PT16	Centro (PT)	Partner	Below threshold	3	1,813,189.75
PT	PT16	Centro (PT)	Partner	Funded	3	1,185,098.00
UK	UKC1	Tees Valley and Durham	Partner	Above threshold but in Reserve List	1	219,625.00
UK	UKC1	Tees Valley and Durham	Partner	Above threshold but not funded	2	917,828.00
UK	UKC2	Northumberland and Tyne and Wear	Partner	Above threshold but not funded	1	835,975.00
UK	UKC2	Northumberland and Tyne and Wear	Partner	Below threshold	1	351,965.00
UK	UKD3	Greater Manchester	Partner	Below threshold	1	1,206,895.00

UK	UKD3	Greater Manchester	Partner	Funded	2	968,001.75
UK	UKD6	Cheshire	Partner	Below threshold	1	153,187.50
UK	UKD7	Merseyside	Partner	Funded	1	138,451.25
UK	UKE1	East Yorkshire and Northern Lincolnshire	Partner	Above threshold but not funded	1	138,162.00
UK	UKE2	North Yorkshire	Coordinator	Below threshold	1	572,260.00
UK	UKE3	South Yorkshire	Coordinator	Below threshold	1	827,581.25
UK	UKE3	South Yorkshire	Partner	Above threshold but in Reserve List	2	875,095.00
UK	UKE3	South Yorkshire	Partner	Funded	1	309,411.00
UK	UKE4	West Yorkshire	Partner	Above threshold but not funded	1	527,250.00
UK	UKE4	West Yorkshire	Partner	Below threshold	5	1,689,891.25
UK	UKF1	Derbyshire and Nottinghamshire	Coordinator	Funded	1	1,000,951.25
UK	UKF1	Derbyshire and Nottinghamshire	Partner	Above threshold but in Reserve List	1	315,175.00
UK	UKF1	Derbyshire and Nottinghamshire	Partner	Below threshold	1	230,125.00
UK	UKF1	Derbyshire and Nottinghamshire	Partner	Funded	1	324,362.50
UK	UKF2	Leicestershire, Rutland and Northamptonshire	Partner	Above threshold but in Reserve List	1	426,000.00
UK	UKF2	Leicestershire, Rutland and Northamptonshire	Partner	Above threshold but not funded	2	1,688,338.00
UK	UKF2	Leicestershire, Rutland and Northamptonshire	Partner	Below threshold	5	2,449,448.75
UK	UKF2	Leicestershire, Rutland and Northamptonshire	Partner	Funded	2	812,400.00
UK	UKF3	Lincolnshire	Partner	Above threshold but not funded	1	147,975.00
UK	UKG1	Herefordshire, Worcestershire and Warwickshire	Partner	Below threshold	1	81,536.00
UK	UKG2	Shropshire and Staffordshire	Partner	Funded	1	407,898.75
UK	UKG3	West Midlands	Coordinator	Above threshold but not funded	1	2,499,345.00
UK	UKG3	West Midlands	Coordinator	Below threshold	2	2,865,825.00
UK	UKG3	West Midlands	Coordinator	Funded	1	774,287.50
UK	UKG3	West Midlands	Partner	Above threshold but in Reserve List	2	832,712.50
UK	UKG3	West Midlands	Partner	Below threshold	3	1,729,994.88
UK	UKG3	West Midlands	Partner	Funded	7	2,271,212.31
UK	UKH1	East Anglia	Coordinator	Below threshold	1	1,143,625.00
UK	UKH1	East Anglia	Partner	Above threshold but not funded	2	3,136,618.00
UK	UKH1	East Anglia	Partner	Below threshold	3	1,691,852.50
UK	UKH1	East Anglia	Partner	Funded	1	434,525.00
UK	UKH2	Bedfordshire and Hertfordshire	Partner	Above threshold but in Reserve List	1	540,258.75
UK	UKH2	Bedfordshire and Hertfordshire	Partner	Above threshold but not funded	1	456,033.75
UK	UKH2	Bedfordshire and Hertfordshire	Partner	Below threshold	3	1,346,405.00
UK	UKH2	Bedfordshire and Hertfordshire	Partner	Funded	3	1,875,966.75
UK	UKI3	Inner London - West	Partner	Above threshold but in Reserve List	1	401,008.75
UK	UKI3	Inner London - West	Partner	Above threshold but not funded	1	196,312.50
UK	UKI3	Inner London - West	Partner	Below threshold	8	2,605,742.75
UK	UKI3	Inner London - West	Partner	Funded	1	381,084.00
UK	UKI4	Inner London - East	Partner	Above threshold but not funded	1	702,219.00
UK	UKI4	Inner London - East	Partner	Below threshold	5	2,442,249.75
UK	UKI4	Inner London - East	Partner	Funded	2	373,322.50
UK	UKI5	Outer London - East and North East	Coordinator	Below threshold	1	926,146.00

			r			
UK	UKI5	Outer London - East and North East	Partner	Above threshold but in Reserve List	1	238,718.75
UK	UKI5	Outer London - East and North East	Partner	Above threshold but not funded	1	335,344.00
UK	UKI5	Outer London - East and North East	Partner	Below threshold	6	2,433,142.50
UK	UKI7	Outer London - West and North West	Partner	Above threshold but in Reserve List	1	632,449.13
UK	UKI7	Outer London - West and North West	Partner	Above threshold but not funded	1	470,492.50
UK	UKI7	Outer London - West and North West	Partner	Below threshold	4	2,589,826.25
UK	UKI7	Outer London - West and North West	Partner	Funded	3	1,267,144.00
UK	UKJ1	Berkshire, Buckinghamshire and Oxfordshire	Coordinator	Below threshold	1	1,252,115.00
UK	UKJ1	Berkshire, Buckinghamshire and Oxfordshire	Partner	Above threshold but not funded	1	636,256.00
UK	UKJ1	Berkshire, Buckinghamshire and Oxfordshire	Partner	Below threshold	2	1,192,547.13
UK	UKJ1	Berkshire, Buckinghamshire and Oxfordshire	Partner	Funded	3	758,310.00
UK	UKJ2	Surrey, East and West Sussex	Coordinator	Above threshold but not funded	1	681,678.75
UK	UKJ2	Surrey, East and West Sussex	Partner	Above threshold but in Reserve List	1	258,720.00
UK	UKJ2	Surrey, East and West Sussex	Partner	Above threshold but not funded	1	340,882.50
UK	UKJ2	Surrey, East and West Sussex	Partner	Below threshold	2	387,883.75
UK	UKJ2	Surrey, East and West Sussex	Partner	Funded	1	254,755.38
UK	UKJ3	Hampshire and Isle of Wight	Partner	Above threshold but in Reserve List	1	844,275.00
UK	UKJ3	Hampshire and Isle of Wight	Partner	Funded	1	396,725.00
UK	UKJ4	Kent	Partner	Above threshold but in Reserve List	1	131,950.00
UK	UKJ4	Kent	Partner	Below threshold	3	1,157,482.00
UK	UKK1	Gloucestershire, Wiltshire and Bristol/Bath area	Coordinator	Below threshold	1	4,945,578.75
UK	UKK1	Gloucestershire, Wiltshire and Bristol/Bath area	Partner	Below threshold	1	407,500.00
UK	UKK1	Gloucestershire, Wiltshire and Bristol/Bath area	Partner	Funded	1	575,940.63
UK	UKK3	Cornwall and Isles of Scilly	Partner	Funded	1	180,681.38
UK	UKK4	Devon	Coordinator	Below threshold	1	1,180,370.00
UK	UKK4	Devon	Partner	Below threshold	1	398,103.75
UK	UKK4	Devon	Partner	Funded	1	388,750.00
UK	UKL2	East Wales	Partner	Above threshold but in Reserve List	1	182,210.00
UK	UKL2	East Wales	Partner	Below threshold	1	81,536.00
UK	UKL2	East Wales	Partner	Funded	1	557,750.00
UK	UKM 2	Eastern Scotland	Partner	Above threshold but not funded	1	2,370,104.00
UK	UKM 2	Eastern Scotland	Partner	Below threshold	1	394,814.25
UK	UKM 3	South Western Scotland	Partner	Above threshold but not funded	1	223,922.00
UK	UKM 3	South Western Scotland	Partner	Funded	1	732,375.00
UK	UKM 5	North Eastern Scotland	Partner	Below threshold	1	83,650.00
UK	UKM 5	North Eastern Scotland	Partner	Funded	1	85,705.00
UK	UKN0	Northern Ireland	Partner	Below threshold	2	873,540.75
UK			Partner	Above threshold but not funded	1	377,309.00